Exhibit B

Exhibit B

```
Page 1
 1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 3
 4
      IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
      ANTITRUST LITIGATION
 5
                                      ) No. 07-cv-05944 SC
      This Document Relates to:
                                      ) MDL No. 1917
       ... (continuing caption page 2) )
 6
 7
 8
            SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 9
                                       )
10
      STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
11
                      Plaintiffs,
                                       ) No. CGC-11-515784
12
               v.
13
      SAMSUNG SDI, INC., CO., LTD,
      et al.,
14
                      Defendants.
15
16
                       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
17
                DEPOSITION OF LG ELECTRONICS
18
                         KYUNG TAE KWON
19
                    San Francisco, California
20
                      Friday, July 13, 2012
21
                            Volume I
22
     Reported by:
     SUZANNE F. BOSCHETTI
23
     CSR No. 5111
24
25
```

	Page 59
A That's right.	11:44:42
Q And how was that allocated?	11:44:43
A As I explained earlier, we have the cost at	11:45:06
the time we first set up that particular conveyor	11:45:16
belt. And we divide that amount into the period of	11:45:20
the time the conveyor belt is to be used. And	11:45:25
usually it's five years, so we divide that amount	11:45:30
into five years and that's how we calculate.	11:45:34
Q All right. Any other costs that you	11:45:50
haven't described for me that were involved in the	11:45:53
manufacture of CRT televisions?	11:45:55
A I think I described described most of	11:46:11
the manufacturing related costs.	11:46:16
Q All right. Now, LG Electronics USA was not	11:46:19
in the business of manufacturing CRT televisions,	11:46:24
correct?	11:46:27
A Correct.	11:46:34
Q So when LG Electronics Inc. or one of its	11:46:34
affiliates completed its manufacture of the CRT TV	11:46:39
for shipment to the United States and shipped the	11:46:44
CRT TV to LG Electronics USA, which entity bore the	11:46:49
cost of shipping the TV to the United States?	11:46:58
A There is depending on the sales conditions.	11:47:26
on the sales conditions.	11.17.20
If the condition is in the form of FOB, it is the	11:47:52
	A As I explained earlier, we have the cost at the time we first set up that particular conveyor belt. And we divide that amount into the period of the time the conveyor belt is to be used. And usually it's five years, so we divide that amount into five years and that's how we calculate. Q All right. Any other costs that you haven't described for me that were involved in the manufacture of CRT televisions? A I think I described described most of the manufacturing related costs. Q All right. Now, LG Electronics USA was not in the business of manufacturing CRT televisions, correct? A Correct. Q So when LG Electronics Inc. or one of its affiliates completed its manufacture of the CRT TV for shipment to the United States and shipped the CRT TV to LG Electronics USA, which entity bore the cost of shipping the TV to the United States?

	Page 110			
1				
2				
3				
4				
5	w			
6				
7				
8	I, KYUNG TAE KWON, do hereby declare under			
9	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing			
10	transcript of my deposition; that I have made such			
11	corrections as noted herein, in ink, initialed by			
12	me, or attached hereto; that my testimony as			
13	contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct.			
14	EXECUTED this 22 day of August,			
15	20 12, at Seoul, Korea.			
16	(City) (State)			
17	1 1/2 1/1 AZ 1/2 1/2 1/2/1			
18	KYUNG TAZ KWON CAM			
,	KYUNG TAE KWON			
19				
20	- 206			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	1			

Page 111 1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 2 3 certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record 7 8 of the proceedings was made by me using machine 9 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my 10 direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true 11 record of the testimony given. 12 I further, certify I am neither financially 13 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 14 of any attorney or party to this action. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 16 subscribed my name. 17 18 Dated: 7/21/12 19 20 21 22 23 SUZANNE F. BOSCHETTI 24 CSR No. 5111 25

ERRATA SHEET CRT 30(b)(6) Deposition of LGE Defendants - KYUNG TAE KWON July 13, 2012

Page	Line	Change and/or Correction	Reason
12	2	"in" to "and"	Transcription error
15	10	"am" to "do"	Clarification of response
18	16	"how come those results" to "how those results"	Inaccurate translation later corrected by interpreter
21	13	Delete "kinds of"	Transcription error
26	20	"1985" to "1995"	Transcription Error
44	6	"bell" to "valve"	Transcription error
49	12	"monitors that people" to "monitors in that people"	Clarification of response
65	20	"printed out" to "retrieved"	Inaccurate translation later corrected by interpreter
70	4	"system when" to "system as backup data when"	Inaccurate translation later corrected by interpreter
71	23	"TPT" to "CPT"	Transcription error